## **Lower Thames Crossing Task Force Action List**

Action	Responsible	Completed?
September meeting		
Councillor B. Little asked if it would be possible for Thurrock to have access to information regarding its own areas. The information would be made available where possible, some could not yet be released as it was still undergoing Highways England's internal assurance policies.	Highways England	
Councillor Piccolo requested data showing the figures for traffic originating in Thurrock or whose final destination was Thurrock, to assess the percentage of traffic that was actually related to Thurrock itself.	Highways England	
The Orsett Cock roundabout would be used by DP World traffic too, so he asked whether it might be possible to move the junction further east to mitigate the number of HGVs forced onto the Orsett Cock roundabout and roads nearby. The Highways England representative agreed to liaise with the engineering department for a response to these points.	Highways England	
October Meeting		
Updated Survey data	Highways England	
The Vice-Chair asked for clarification around the scheme design, such as the possibility of 'cut and cover' or tunnels. He felt the proposal to have sections of the route elevated to 5-8m would hardly be conducive to minimise the impact on residents. He also noted ambiguity as to whether there would be four or six lanes and requested that Highways England confirm these details. The representatives present were responsible for surveys and the EIA Scoping Report therefore did not have the requested information but it would be fed back outside of the meeting.	Highways England	
Link to documents outlining decision process	Highways England	completed
The Thames Crossing Action Group Representative requested data from Highways England as to the expected difference in air quality impact between route 3 and the A14 route.	Highways England	
The Vice-Chair wished to ask Highways England whether the route would need to go by Chadwell-St-Mary if there were a roundabout at Tilbury, as this would serve the docks. He reiterated that the Council opposed the proposed crossing, but stressed that these questions would need to be asked if the proposal were	Highways England	

approved		
The Thames Crossing Action Group representative	Highways England	
requested the Task Force be shown a virtual reality		
model of the proposed route; which had been		
presented to other parties.		
He also requested full details regarding monies for	Highways England	
remedial works on the current crossing to offer better		
scope on its usage. The Assistant Director of Highways		
& Transportation clarified that those funds would be		
the responsibility of a separate division of Highways		
England than the Lower Thames Crossing team		
however an update could still be obtained.		